

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/605,623	10/14/2003	Julian B. Melendrez	ZIGP102US	2622	
24041	7590 08/20/2004		EXAMINER		
	E SIMPSON, PLLC		MCMAHON, MARGUERITE J		
5555 MAIN S WILLIAMSV	STREET VILLE, NY 14221-5406		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	 , •		3747		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 ^	()
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/605,623	MELENDREZ, JULIAN B.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marguerite J. McMahon	3747	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
· ·	VIC CET TO EVDIDE 2 MO	NTU(S) EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely 1S from the mailing date of this communicatio NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	in. .
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
•	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	S
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ac	cepted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Ap	plication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date´. ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/30/04.	5)	этпаг Гацент Аррисацоп (РТО-192) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/605,623

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the focusing bar claimed in claim 18 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/605,623

Art Unit: 3747

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9, 12, 14, and 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Ettehadieh (5,063,368).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10, 11, 13, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ettehadieh (5,063,368). Ettehadieh shows everything except providing a second magnet assembly including a second metal plate identical to the magnet assembly shown and locating the north pole of the magnet adjacent to the fuel line.

According to MPEP 2144.04 VI (B), it has been held that mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to provide a second magnet assembly, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,623

Art Unit: 3747

With respect to claim 17, it would have been prima facie obvious to substitute the north pole for the south pole as the pole adjacent the fuel line, since they are art recognized alternatives known for the same purpose, as evidenced by numerous claims in the instant application citing the use of the south pole located adjacent the fuel line.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over.

Ettehadieh (5,063,368) in view of Melendrez (5,271,369). Ettehadieh shows everything except employing a focusing bar. Meldendrez teaches that it is old in the art to employ a focusing bar 150 (see Figure 7). It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art to modify Ettehadieh by employing a focusing bar, in order to concentrate the magnetic flux into the fuel line.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the alternating magnetic pole orientations of Akyildiz (6,041,763), the focusing bar of Cronk (5,816,227, and the metal plates of Jeong (5,329,911).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marguerite J. McMahon whose telephone number is 703-308-1956. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yuen Henry can be reached on 703-308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,623 Page 5

Art Unit: 3747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MARGUERITE MCMAHON PRIMARY EXAMINER